

Annex 2

Known issues not addressed in GSR037

All of the following issues in relation to the SQSS have been previously raised with NESO, however they have not been addressed in GSR037 as they were considered to be either out of scope or potentially material, and required further consideration, probably via a working group.

1. The definition of Licensee is no longer fit for purpose as both NESO and the TOs are included in the definition, but the SQSS should probably differentiate between the roles of these two parties. This issue has been present since GSR033.
2. The formatting in sections 1.16 and 7 is different to the rest of the SQSS, but to renumber and reformat would likely require changing some text, which has potential to introduce unintended consequences.
3. Regarding the last line of section 1.5:
"Reinforcement or alternative operation of the national electricity transmission system to alleviate inadequacies of equipment or systems not owned or operated by the Licensees would be undertaken where it is agreed by the network operators affected and the relevant Licensees."

There is a question: "What happens if the inadequacies (eg fault level) are on a directly connected customers' system, as the clause relates only to network operators' systems.

4. Section 1.25.6 has an inconsistent way of defining a term locally rather than in the definitions section.
5. Table 3.2 mentions NGET's transmission system, which may be an error.
6. Reference to the defined term *insufficient voltage performance margins* in 6.1, just after 6.1.3.2, seems redundant as the two sets of roman numeral lists underneath are already within the definition, with some very minor tweaks:

"... unavoidably exceeding the continuous reactive capability expected to be available from generating units or other reactive sources, so such that accessible reactive reserves are exhausted;"

7. Table 6.5 Voltage Step Change Limits in Planning and Operational Timescales, includes
 defined term is "double circuit overhead line" – is there a reason that this is different to the ter, "double circuit transmission overhead line" also used in the table? The use of this / these terms could do with a general review in this table.
8. Relevant party, as referenced in the definition of an 'Information Request Notice' is not a defined term, and it is not clear what it means.
9. In Appendix J.1-J.3 Interpretations, section sits uncomfortably in the section. The governance framework section came from a separate SQSS Governance Framework document. Consider whether these should be moved elsewhere in the main SQSS, of the Appendix J should be reviewed so that it integrates better

with the rest of the document

10. Appendix J.4 seems unnecessary. Consider deletion or review.
11. Appendix J.9 is not clear. "The *governance framework* is not intended to reflect upon the powers and decisions of the *Authority* in relation to the SQSS."
12. Appendix J.10 are the NESO objectives but not the TO licence objectives. Review.
13. Appendix J.11 mentions *NESO* as a defined term for the only time, it likely should be removed.
14. Appendix J.21.1-4 could all be merged as it is repetitive.
15. Appendix J.27 has a reference to the section below, this seems backwards. Review.
16. Appendix J.41 (b) has an inconsistent method of defining a term. Consider adding to the definition section.
17. Appendix J.69-70, and 75 seems unnecessary as there is now only one version of the SQSS. The only licence which makes reference to versions of the SQSS is the OFTO licence.
18. Appendix J.A1.13 Should "... J.A1.40-44 or J.A1.45-49..." be merged as to "J.A1.40-49"